1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PERFECT 10 INC,

v.

Plaintiff(s),

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 12, 2013

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. C-12-01521-WHA (DMR)

PARTE DISCOVERY LETTER

[DOCKET NO. 186] AS MOOT

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' EX

YANDEX NV, Defendant(s). The court is in receipt of Defendants' ex parte discovery letter dated on July 11, 2013. [Docket No. 186.] In that letter, Defendants' seek an order compelling Plaintiff to permit Defendants' experts to confidential documents. Only July 12, 2013, Plaintiff filed a letter stating, "To avoid burdening the Court, Perfect 10 agrees to allow Defendants['] . . . experts to review confidential and [Attorneys Eyes Only] material." [Docket No. 188 at 1.] Thus, the issue raised in Defendants' letter appears to have been resolved.

DONNA M. RYU

United States Magistrate Judge

Accordingly, Defendants' letter is **denied as moot**.